THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

W.P.No.23115 of 2005

Date: 31.10.2005

Between:

D. Krishna

…. Petitioner

and

The Station House Officer, Chilkalguda Police Station, Secunderabad & others.

….. Respondents.

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

W.P.No.23115 of 2005

ORDER: *(per the Hon'ble Smt. Justice T. Meena Kumari)*

This is a taken up case on the Letter, dated 20.10.2005, sent by the petitioner to call for the records and remarks from the respondents in illegally detaining him in Chilkalguda policed station on 19.10.2005 from 11.00 a.m. to 05.00 p.m.

and providing two constables to help smt. Bilquis Begum to construct compound wall around his house causing hindrance to ingress and aggress to his house.

The respondents have filed the counter denying the allegations in the Letter. It is specifically mentioned in the counter that on the complaint given by one Feroz Khan, the husband of Bilquis Begum, the Station House Officer, Chilakalguda Police Station conducted preliminary enquiry into the case and summoned the complainant as well as the petitioner to the police station and advised both of them to seek their redressal before any competent civil Court, since the dispute is civil in nature. Further, it is mentioned that the said Bilquis Begum filed a suit for injunction against the petitioner and two others in O.S.No.1371 of 2005 in the court of the XI Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Courts, Secunderabad and obtained interim injunction.

It is submitted by the leaned Additional Advocate General across the Bar that the petitioner was summoned to the police station in connection with a complaint and since it is purely civil in nature, the Station House Officer advised both the complainant and the petitioner to approach the civil Court concerned.

In view of the submission made by the learned Additional Advocate General and in view of the averments made in the counter, We are of the view that no further orders are necessary in this writ petition and accordingly, the same is liable to be dismissed.

The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed.

(T. MEENA KUMARI.J)

(K.C. BHANU.J)

Date: 31.10.2005

ksld